# **SECURITY REVIEW GUIDE:**

An Information Handbook for Public Affairs Offices, Coordinators, and Submitters



SAF/PAS APRIL 1999 DSN 227-9542 COM 703-697-9542

# OFFICE FOR SECURITY REVIEW (SAF/PAS)

# SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE

# OFFICE OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

The Review Process	3
Delegation of Clearance Authority	3
Web Pages	3
Responsibilities of Public Affairs Offices	3
Responsibilities of Coordinators	5
Submission of Material	6
Appeals	7
Obligations to the Submitter	8
Review Considerations	8
References	12
Checklist	12

A recognized and undisputed fact is that the American public has both the need and the right to know about our Air Force. This knowledge is limited only to the extent that it does not compromise national security and the safety of our people. The Air Force, therefore, has the responsibility to inform the public of its operations and accomplishments.

#### THE REVIEW PROCESS:

Security Review represents an on-going effort to inform and increase the public understanding of the mission, operations and programs of the Air Force. It is a service provided by Public Affairs to ensure that the information is released quickly, and that it is unclassified, accurate, and conforms to established Air Force and Department of Defense policies. Obviously, grave harm to our nation and those serving in the Armed Forces and their families, can occur through unrestricted open access to national security information. A fine balance between disclosure and non-disclosure can be attained through the use and enforcement of programs already in existence. The directives governing the review of material are not intended to prevent information from being released, suppress people from expressing their opinions and ideas, or conflict with policies concerning openness in government. Security and policy considerations are the only basis for releasability decisions. Deciding whether to release, when to release, how to release, and to whom, are not Security Review decisions.

## **DELEGATION OF CLEARANCE AUTHORITY:**

The objective of the security review process at all levels must be the maximum clearance of information in minimum time. In support of this objective Air Force policy provides for clearance by the Public Affairs Officer at the lowest level where competent authority exists to judge the security and policy aspects of the information submitted for review. In the case of "Electronic Commerce" SAF/AQ, is the authority for policy and guidance governing the review and release of *information made available on public web sites in the conduct of electronic commerce*. Their guidance is being developed in conjunction with SAF/PAS.

#### **WEB PAGES**

Public access pages are intended for viewing by the general public, and as such, the information that is placed on these pages should be written in a manner that would be of interest to a wide spectrum of the world's population. In addition, the pages should reflect a professional representation of your organization and that of the Air Force. These pages must be reviewed prior to placement on the web through the Security Review process. All Internet Home Page reviews are conducted in compliance with existing "hard copy" principles as stated in AFI 35-101. The information must be accurate, mission related, timely, and appropriate for release.

### RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PUBLIC AFFAIRS OFFICE:

Security Review is the responsibility of the Public Affairs Office. The scope of this function will vary with the size and mission of the unit or organization; the task may require a few hours a week in one

office or the full-time services of one or more individuals in another. It is best to designate one individual as primarily responsible for the security review function.

Responsiveness and good administrative practices are keys to an effective review system. A staffing system should be established through the appropriate staff and other agencies to obtain expert coordination. The Public Affairs Office must get these agencies to agree to a minimum practical suspense for the coordination of review submissions. A log is essential for maintaining continuous control of documents.

Get enough copies from the submitter to allow simultaneous review by the coordinating agencies (suggested quantities follow later). These copies should be hand-carried to ensure accountability of the packages and to minimize the time required for the movement of documents. When possible, call ahead to expedite the processing of requests. Make arrangements with staff agencies for expedited handling of the occasional hot item.

Each office should establish a database reference and either an electronic or hard copy file with a minimum of two years of cleared information for research purposes.

After the copies have been returned, reconcile the recommendations of coordinating agencies. The Public Affairs Officer has the authority to over-ride the recommendation of a coordinating agency whose position is not or cannot be sufficiently substantiated.

Clear, amend, disapprove, or forward submitted information to higher headquarters, as appropriate (use the marking procedure specified in the next section for coordinators). Disapproval authority must not be delegated to an administrative or command level not functionally competent to assess the content.

To keep the process functioning effectively, keep your submitters apprised of the requirements and characteristics of the system, and remember that coordinators should not be identified to submitters without the permission of the coordinator.

Inter-command and Inter- agency coordination:

Major Commands should work with each other to obtain inter-command coordination. If the material also requires SAF/PAS review, please indicate what coordination were accomplished in the cover letter. Material requiring review/coordination by another agency such as NASA, DARPA etc. will be sent to SAF/PAS. Note: some units work with and are co-located with organizations from another agency. If there coordination is required, obtain it and then send to SAF/PAS if the material warrants.

## **Electronic Submittal**

If Security Review is being processed electronically at your location, care must be take to ensure protection of the material should classified information be discovered. Some bases use an Intra-net system to review and coordinate prior to clearance and publication/ posting on the web. At the present time, SAF/PAS is unable to accept electronic submission of cases for review. There are several

reasons. First, cases often contain classified material and the email/ Internet system we are linked to is not secure (dot mil is not a secure system). Second, many of the cases sent to us for review must be sent to DoD and other agencies. They are not accepting electronic submittals at this time.

## Speeches/Presentations by high ranking individuals

A speech or presentation by an individual, who by virtue of rank, position or expertise would be considered an official DoD spokesperson (generally, persons at the Assistant Secretary of the Air Force level or above, or, at the rank of Major General or above) must be submitted for security and policy review a minimum of three working days before the event. Additional time may be needed for complex or potentially controversial speeches or presentations. SAF/PAS forwards these speeches/presentations to DoD/DFOISR for review. Specific criteria, any one of which requires review at DoD are found in DoDI 5230.29.

## **RESPONSIBILITIES OF COORDINATORS:**

## **Identification**

We ask the coordination officer to identify information that is not releasable, i.e., information that is CLASSIFIED, or violates official POLICY.

# **Marking**

Brackets, in BLACK, are the shorthand for identifying nonreleasable information. With brackets the coordinating officer is telling the Public Affairs Officer what material should be removed prior to the public release of the document. Brackets signal a mandatory AMENDMENT. Substitute language may be written above the brackets, in BLACK.

#### **Amending**

AMENDMENTS require the support of specific source citations and rationale. Because the security review process is geared to maximum disclosure, Public Affairs Officers may be asked to defend AMENDMENTS. The coordinating officer must provide sufficient information to enable the Public Affairs Officer to substantiate an AMENDMENT. Proper documentation will eliminate time consuming discussion between the Public Affairs Officer and the coordinating officer.

## **Source Citation**

Frequently cited classified sources include security classification guides, provisions of contracts (DD-254), AF Policy Directives, manuals, Selected Acquisition Reports, development concept papers, source documents or information originators. When the coordinator identifies classified material, the Public Affairs Officer must be notified (he or she will in turn notify other agencies having the document). Immediately, everyone possessing the document must protect it as classified. POLICY sources may be:

Presidential announcements, official pronouncements of DOD and AF leaders, AF Policy Directives and manuals, or policy letters. Occasionally, policy is not documented, yet has an identifiable source.

## Objection

The coordinator may also make an OBJECTION to approving a document for public release. An OBJECTION requires no marking on the document, however, it must have justification on the same basis as an AMENDMENT or a rewrite for security or policy. An OBJECTION may be made on documents that require such extensive AMENDMENTS or rewrite for accuracy that the changes to permit publication would be impractical.

#### **Editorial Review**

EDITORIAL REVIEW is not a responsibility of security review; however, clarity and accuracy are important to the credibility of the information. Coordinators should be encouraged to make constructive editorial comments. Editorial amendments (deletions) are lined through once in BLACK. (Do not use brackets.) Correct information should be entered in BLACK. An OBJECTION may be made on documents that require extensive AMENDMENTS or rewrite for accuracy.

#### **Other Coordination**

If the coordinator thinks the document needs additional coordination, he or she should immediately notify the responsible Public Affairs Officer. This call could save several days in the review process; the submitter will appreciate the savings.

## Maintaining/ Reproducing Copies

It is critical that no copies be kept or reproduced during the coordination process without the express permission of the Public Affairs reviewing authority.

## **SUBMISSION OF MATERIAL:**

#### Who

All Air Force military and civilian personnel, including Air National Guard and Air Force Reserve personnel on active duty or who are writing or speaking on topics related to their active duty assignments must submit information for security review. Former members and retired personnel are encouraged to use this review service to make sure that information they intend to release to the public is consistent with national security.

#### What

Information in any form proposed for public release concerning plans, policies, programs or operations of the Department of the Air Force, Department of Defense or the Federal Government. Information

released electronically, to include Home Pages and responses to electronic mail queries must be cleared in the same manner as hard copy information. Information already in the public domain need not be reviewed again unless it has been updated, revised, added to or is to be presented in a new context.

## Where

Information that cannot be cleared locally, should be elevated through normal Public Affairs channels. Submissions in the following categories must be forwarded through channels to the Department of Defense:

- Concerning topics of national interest or foreign policy
- Originates or is proposed for release at the seat of U S Government (Washington, DC area) (Technical papers may be exempt, if no other factor requires a DOD review)
- Creating possible inter-service controversy
- Concerning plans, policies, programs, or operations of the Federal Government
- Directed by higher authority
- On other subjects where doubt exits

## When

Information pertaining to new weapons, aircraft, missiles, space systems, or related equipment; nuclear energy; radiological, psychological, or chemical warfare; or biological research or pertaining to significant modifications of existing weapons or space systems.

Submit with lead time to allow for 10 workdays for review in SAF/PAS plus transit time. Complex and/or lengthy submissions may require more review time.

#### How

Include with or attach to each copy:

- Name, title, and organization (as appropriate) or originating unit, author, or speaker
- Title of article or presentation
- Statement on where, when and how information is to be released, and the organization sponsoring the occasion
- Suspense date required by originator, if earlier than date of presentation or publication
- Statement that the information has been reviewed and is recommended for public release
- Signed or initialed notation by author or speaker indicating approval of the text

#### **How Many**

- Sufficient copies for expeditious review to reach SAF/PAS as follows:
- Still photos and captions -- 10 copies. Photocopies must be of high quality to be

accepted

- Videotapes -- 10 copies of script, at least 2 copies of videotapes
- Speeches -- 10 copies
- Other printed materials or floppy disks -- 10 copies

#### **APPEALS:**

Appeals are encouraged and vigorously prosecuted when the submitter can provide compelling rationale or introduce additional factors supporting release not known at the time of turndown. The Public Affairs Officer who made the turndown must work the appeal and make the final decision. This may require some close work with the staff agency that made the original objection.

#### **OBLIGATIONS TO THE SUBMITTER:**

All due speed in processing. Timely coordination, use of BLACK for marking, and the use of source citations are major security review time-savers and are part of our responsibility to the submitter.

An explanation of reasons for turndown or amendments to the submitted material with a provision for information that will help to remove objections to the speech, paper, or other material so that it can be cleared upon resubmission are another responsibility of the review process and will go far toward ensuring the maximum clearance of information in the minimum time.

#### **REVIEW CONSIDERATIONS**

There are many criteria that must be considered when deciding to release information to the public. Some are governed by public law, others by Executive Orders, Department of Defense and Air Force policies and regulations.

# **COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL**

United States laws on copyright, primarily 17 USC 101, et seq., preserve for the owner of copyrighted material the benefits and earnings to be derived from the reproduction and distribution of such works. Material that is subject to copyright protection includes "original works of authorship fixed in any tangible medium..." 17 USC 102(a). It is now accepted that computer software, sequences of code, and instructions, are in fact, subject to copyright.

#### **LEGAL**

Release of copyrighted information via the Internet or other media without authorization of the copyright owner is prohibited. This includes and is not limited to:

- Software distribution of shareware, copyrighted software, etc.
- Graphic images such as symbols, pictures, buttons, cartoons, e.g. Disney or Hanna

Barbera characters, etc.

• Copyrighted text such as published articles, excerpts from published manuals etc. (Reference—AFI 51-503; AFI 33-360)

## **SECURITY**

Operational Security or OPSEC is a process of identifying and analyzing friendly actions attendant to military operations and other activities to:

- Identify those actions that can be observed by potential adversaries
- Determine indicators that could be interpreted or pieced together to derive critical information in time to be useful to an adversary
- Select and execute measures that eliminate or reduce to an acceptable level the vulnerabilities of friendly actions to exploitation by an adversary

In short, OPSEC provides a step-by-step analysis of operations and behavior, from an adversary's point of view, to determine how to exploit our vulnerabilities. Information that adversary's need to achieve their goals to our detriment constitute the critical information of our operation or program. By identifying and denying this information, we deny any potential adversary an advantage.

The OPSEC analysis examines the planning, preparation, execution, and post execution phases of any activity, across the entire spectrum of military activity, and in any operation environment. Air Force commanders and decision makers should consider OPSEC during both mission and acquisition planning. In fact, the Air Force implements the OPSEC process in all functional areas. (AFI 10-11).

## Aggregation.

Increasingly, the combination of individual and seemingly disparate items of unclassified and non-sensitive information can reveal a "composite" or "mosaic" picture that is sensitive or even classified. The development of Internet search engines and data-mining technology has increased this threat exponentially. For example, individual stock orders may be unclassified and non-sensitive, however, all stock orders for the USAF may be sensitive. Combine that information with operations and/or deployments and it's classified.

Reviewers must look at information not only on its own merits but also against this larger context. Ask yourself if the information can be combined with other data to provide an advantage to a potential adversary or reduce our edge on the battlefield. Similarly, ask if the data can be added to other data to violate privacy or other statutory protection requirements. There is nothing precise here. It's often a subjective call. If you have any doubts, protect the information and contact persons who might have knowledge of the potential aggregation problem.

## SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION (STINFO)

The purpose of the STINFO program is to ensure that scientific and technical information makes the maximum impact on the development of Air Force technology, and to ensure that the scientific and

technical information generated under Air Force contracts and programs makes maximum contribution to the national economy.

American technology is a valuable commodity and is greatly sought after. Technology in it's basic research form is openly distributed and exchanged. However, technology that is nearing application to a military weapon system(s) is considered sensitive as it discloses too much about that potential system. STINFO Officers are responsible for reviewing reports etc. and determining which distribution statements should appear on the data. Only reports determined to be "Statement A" can be forwarded for security and policy review and then considered for release to the public. This is the only technical information that should be considered for a public release. (AFI 61-204)

**Technology Transfer** is a term used to denote the uncontrolled export or disclosure of advanced technology by the US to foreigners. This problem is significant and a public release, either through print, video or the use of web sites may provide easy access to our critical data. If the information is critical to the military and released to the public, the United States could lose it's critical edge in that particular area. The Department of Defense and other agencies of the Federal Government have created a series of controls that are in use throughout the review process. Note: Civilian agencies use this term to describe the sharing of Government technology with industry, the program the Defense Department refers to as Domestic Technology Transfer

The Militarily Critical Technologies List (MCTL) is published by DoD and used as a reference document, not as a strict regulation or decision tool. It is a guideline listing of those technologies that are critical to the security of our nation. Information cannot be withheld from release solely on the basis that the information is cited on the Militarily Critical Technologies List. It is not recognized or used as an export control list.

**International Traffic-in-Arms Regulations (ITAR)** is a series of State Department regulations that lists technical data about arms and munitions prohibited from export. It includes any unclassified information that can be used, or be adapted for use, in the design, production, manufacture, repair, overhaul, processing, engineering, development, operations, maintenance, or reconstruction of arms, ammunition, and implements of war contained in the US munitions list.

**Export Control Laws** are the responsibility of the Department of Commerce, and were established to provide export control policies and practices. A validated license is required from the Department of Commerce for the export of all technical data listed on the Commerce Control List. Care must be taken as clearance of information for public release will allow unlimited distribution of information and may bypass the export control laws which validates information for a designated location and a specific end user.

**Basic Research.** For purposes of Security Review, basic research is research performed by a university or industry (6.1 funded) or performed on campus at a university (6.2 funded). These eforts do not require review under this program.

## FREEDOM OF INFORMATION and PRIVACY ACTS

The Air Force Freedom of Information Act program, as described in DoD 5400.7-R and AFI 37-131, states that the public may inspect, review, and receive copies of Air Force records. This applies to all records except for those exempt under the Act. The exemptions fall into 9 categories of information:

- 1) Classified Records
- 2) Internal Personnel Rules and Practices
- 3) Other Laws / statutes
- 4) Confidential Commercial Information
- 5) Inter or Intra Agency Records
- 6) Invasion of Personal Privacy (Privacy Act)
- 7) Investigative Records
- 8) Financial Institutions
- 9) Wells geological/geophysical information

FOIA records to be placed on the Web must be cleared/coordinated for public release through Security Review. This may be a simple coordination since FOIA officials coordinate with many of the same considerations used by Security Review officials. Note: Information meeting these exemptions may be marked "For Official Use Only or FOUO." Do not mark other information FOUO.

See DoD 5400.7-R and AFI 37-131 for specific details.

Consult with the supporting FOIA/Privacy Officer before clearing any personal or FOIA-exempt information.

## LINKS, HYPERTEXT POINTERS

Hypertext pointers on public pages should be limited to information directly related to the mission of the organization. In most cases, home pages should refer or point only to parent commands and/or subordinate units. All pages designed to provide public page access information should refrain from referencing limited access sites. "Pointers" to "interesting Web Sites" and "dead ends" should also be avoided. Pointers should only be to sites providing unlimited access since providing a pointer from a public site to a limited access site invites unauthorized break-ins. All public sites must be thoroughly tested including operational testing to ensure dynamic links work as designed and are not "under construction" when the site is opened to the public.

#### COMMERCIAL LINKS—MISSION RELATED ONLY

Appropriate sites for pointers from Air Force home pages are those that relate to the mission of the organization. For example, if members of your organization routinely interact with a defense contractor etc. it is permissible to point to that contractor's home page that directly relates to official USAF contracted business. The Air Force can only be responsible for one link into a defense contractor's home page. Therefore, incorporating the following disclaimer is suggested:

The Air Force cannot be responsible for linking beyond the official USAF contracted business.

It is also appropriate for a base web page to point to local chambers of commerce etc., but not appropriate to point to or endorse an individual business or commercial service.

The Air Force does not perceive a conflict of interest or other problem with referencing one or even multiple other Web sites in our own Home Page, even when arguably to the exclusion of other potential Web sites. Any appearance of impropriety could be avoided with a notice that indicates references to other sources with the organization's Home Page does not constitute an official or unofficial endorsement of such sites or services, and that the list is not necessarily all inclusive. (AF/JAG legal opinion dated 17 Jan 96)

#### WEB GUEST BOOKS AND PUBLIC COMMENT PAGES

If you incorporate a "guest book" in your home page, be aware that you are responsible for the content of those public messages. That's because your web site-the hardware, software and management of the page is legally considered your responsibility. If you operate a system through which people can leave comments for other web viewers to see, you are providing the support for those comments to be published in the public domain. Essentially this means that if someone (anyone) leaves a libelous, pornographic, inflammatory or otherwise inappropriate message on your public comment pages or in your guest book, you are responsible for publishing those remarks because you're providing the pages for consumption. One way to eliminate this risk is to consider carefully the need for public guest books or other public comment pages.

#### REFERENCES

AFI 10-1101	Operations Security
AFPD 16-2	Disclosure of Military Information to Foreign Governments and
	International Organizations
AFPD 16-11	International Technology Transfer and Security Controls
AFI 33-129	Transmission of Information via the Internet
AFI 35-205	Security and Policy Review Program
AFPD 36-601	Industrial Security Program Management
AFI 37-131	Freedom of Information Act Program
AFI 37-132	Privacy Act Program
AFI 61-204	Dissemination of Scientific and Technical Information
DoD 5200.1-R	Information Security Program Regulation
DoD 5200.22	Industrial Security Program
DoD 5230.9	Clearance of Information for Public Release
DoD 5230.25	Withholding of Unclassified Technical Data from Public Disclosure
DoD 5400.7-R	DoD Freedom of Information Act Program

#### CHECKLIST FOR APPROVING/ CLEARING INFORMATION

#### Is the Information:

- Unclassified, not sensitive (FOUO), accurate and suitable for release?
- Timely, accurate and current?
- Coordinated with the appropriate OPSEC monitor? (AFI 10-11)
- Does the information proposed for release provide details on military operations or activities (including lessons learned, analysis of operations, unit movements or plans etc.) which, if combined with other information already in the public domain, would compromise planned or ongoing operations? (DoD 5200.1-R para 2-400)
- Subject to Privacy Act restrictions? (AFI 37-132)

Information, the release of which would be a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy to include the following categories about US citizens, DoD employees and military personnel:

-- Social Security numbers, Dates of Birth, home address, telephone numbers other than duty offices that are made available to the general public.

Duty phone numbers of units described in C.3.2.1.6.2.2 of DoD 5400.7R- (reference (j) may not be posted.

- -- Names, locations and other identifying information about family members
- -- Official travel itineraries of individuals and units before or while it is performed?
- Subject to the Freedom of Information Act restrictions or exemptions? (DoD 5400.7-R/AFI 37-131)
- Suitable to bear Distribution Statement "A" (AFI 61-204)
  - -- No Scientific, technical, research and development information covered by AFI 61-204
- Contain copyrighted material without written permission from the owner?
- Contain a commercial trademark, logo or other information that implies endorsement of a non-federal entity or product.
- Does the information contain Export Controlled technical data involving military or space applications meeting the requirements of ITAR, para 120.10
  - a. Information required for design, development, production, manufacture, assembly, operation, repair, test, maintenance or modification of defense articles. This includes information in the form of blueprints, drawings, photographs, plans, instructions and documentation. It does not include information concerning general scientific, mathematical or engineering principles taught in schools, colleges or universities or information in the public domain.
  - b. Classified information relating to defense articles and defense services
  - c. Information covered by an invention secrecy order.
  - d. Software as defined in the ITAR para 121.8f directly related to defense articles

Export controlled technical data is exempt from public release. Reviewers should state that this information should not be released to the public and cite a specific reference such as Exemption 3 of the FOIA, Export Control Laws -Title 22, USC 2751 etc. (see DoD 5230.25 or AFI 64-204)

- Does this document contain proprietary data? If yes then coordination and approval must be obtained in writing by the person or agency who holds any proprietary interest.
- Does the information contain FOIA exempt data?

## For Web Pages (in addition to the above)

- Is the Web site a public site with no access restrictions?
  URL address of site
  POC of the site or site administrator (AFI 35 101 Chapter 18)
- Is the web sit registered with AF Link?
- Linked to a .mil site? (AFI 33-129)
- Linked to sites under construction or inactive links?
- Linked to inappropriate (commercial, offensive, chat etc.) sites.
- Are there product endorsements links to commercial sites that may imply endorsement?
- Mission related and of value to the general public?
- A professional representation of your organization and that of the Air Force?
- Contain a point of contact and contact information on the main page and significant sub-pages?
- Contain the proper warning banners/disclaimers in accordance with AFI 33-129?
- Contain the entire directory of an organization?
- Contain personal or lists of personal/organizational email addresses?
- Does the site contain Non-OMB approved surveys or questionnaires?
- Does the site contain maps drawn to scale?